arXiv:1106.4590v3 [math.CA] 12 Jul 2011

REMARKS ON THE PERIODIC BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR NONLINEAR SECOND ORDER ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

FAOUZI HADDOUCHI, SLIMANE BENAICHA

Abstract. This paper is devoted to study the existence of solutions and the monotone method of second-order periodic boundary value problems when the lower and upper solutions α and β violate the boundary conditions $\alpha(0) = \alpha(2\pi)$ and $\beta(0) = \beta(2\pi)$. We present several comparison results. We show that the method of lower and upper solutions coupled with the monotone iterative technique is valid to obtain constuctive proof of existence of solutions.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the existence of solutions and the monotone method for periodic boundary value problems (PBVP, for short) of second-order differential equations of the form

$$-u'' = f(t,u), \quad u(0) = u(2\pi),\ u'(0) = u'(2\pi), \tag{1.1}$$

where $f \in C[I \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}]$, $I = [0, 2\pi]$.

It is well known that if α and β are lower and upper solutions for (1.1), respectively, with $\alpha \leq \beta$ on $[0, 2\pi]$ and satisfy

$$\alpha(0) = \alpha(2\pi)\ ,\ \ \beta(0) = \beta(2\pi) \tag{1.2}$$

$$\alpha'(0) \geq \alpha'(2\pi)\ ,\ \ \beta'(0) \leq \beta'(2\pi), \tag{1.3}$$

then the existence of solutions has been proved by using an abstract existence theorem (see, for instance [1], [2]). Moreover, the extreme solutions have been obtained as limits of monotone iterates. The problem of proving the existence of solutions when the conditions (1.3) are violated has been investigated in detail; (see, e.g.,[3], [4] for an excellent bibliography). In [3], [4], only the monotone iterative method has been discussed. In this paper, Our main objective is to investigate the case when α and β violate the relations of (1.2). Motivated by the studies in [3], and [4], we will establish some comparison results that will be useful later. In the last section we will show the validity of the upper and lower solutions method as well as the monotone iterative technique if adding one-side Lipschitz condition to $f(t,u)$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34B15, 34C25, 34B18.

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear problem; Periodic boundary value problem; Lower and upper solutions, Monotone iteration.

2. Linear periodic boundary value problem

Let M, λ, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ with $M \neq 0$ and $\sigma \in C([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R})$. The solution of the linear problem

$$\begin{cases} -u''(t) + M^2u(t) = \sigma(t) \\ u(0) - u(2\pi) = \mu \\ u'(0) - u'(2\pi) = \lambda \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

is given by

$$u(t) = C_1e^{Mt} + C_2e^{-Mt} - \frac{e^{Mt}}{2M}\int_0^t e^{-Ms}\sigma(s)ds + \frac{e^{-Mt}}{2M}\int_0^t e^{Ms}\sigma(s)ds \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{2(1-e^{2\pi M})}(\mu + \frac{\lambda}{M}) - \frac{e^{2\pi M}}{2M(1-e^{2\pi M})}\int_0^{2\pi} e^{-Ms}\sigma(s)ds,$$

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{2(1-e^{-2\pi M})}(\mu - \frac{\lambda}{M}) + \frac{e^{-2\pi M}}{2M(1-e^{-2\pi M})}\int_0^{2\pi} e^{Ms}\sigma(s)ds.$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $u \in C^2([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R})$ and suppose that there exists $\omega \in C([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R})$, $\omega \geq 0$ on $I = [0,2\pi]$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} -u''(t) + M^2u(t) + \omega(t) \leq 0,\ t \in I \\ u(0) - u(2\pi) = \mu \\ u'(0) - u'(2\pi) = \lambda \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\int_0^{2\pi}(e^{Ms} + e^{-M(s-2\pi)})\omega(s)ds \geq \mu M(e^{2\pi M} - 1) - \lambda(e^{2\pi M} + 1). \tag{2.4}$$

Then $u \leq 0$ on I.

Proof. Note that $-u''(t) + M^2u(t) + \omega(t) = \sigma$ with $\sigma \leq 0$ on I. Using (2.2) and (2.4) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} u(0) &= C_1 + C_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2(1-e^{2\pi M})}(\mu + \frac{\lambda}{M}) + \frac{1}{2(1-e^{-2\pi M})}(\mu - \frac{\lambda}{M}) - \\ &\quad \int_0^{2\pi}\frac{e^{Ms} + e^{-M(s-2\pi)}}{2M(1-e^{2\pi M})}\sigma(s)ds + \int_0^{2\pi}\frac{e^{Ms} + e^{-M(s-2\pi)}}{2M(1-e^{2\pi M})}\omega(s)ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u(0) &\leq \frac{1}{2M(1-e^{2\pi M})}\left[\int_0^{2\pi}(e^{Ms}+e^{-M(s-2\pi)})\omega(s)ds - \mu M(e^{2\pi M}-1) + \lambda(e^{2\pi M}+1)\right] \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $u(t) > 0$ for some $t \in (0,2\pi]$, then $\max\{u(t),\ t \in [0,2\pi]\} > 0$. By taking $u(t^\star) = \max\{u(t),\ t \in [0,2\pi]\}$, we have $u'(t^\star) = 0$ and $u''(t^\star) \leq 0$.

From the inequality $-u''(t^\star) + M^2u(t^\star) + \omega(t^\star) \leq 0$ we get that $u''(t^\star) > 0$ which is a contradiction. □

This result gives us several useful consequences.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $u \in C^2([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R})$ such that $-u''(t)+M^2u(t) \le 0$ for $t \in I$ and*

$$u(0)-u(2\pi) \le \frac{1}{M\tanh(\pi M)}(u'(0)-u'(2\pi)).$$

Then $u \le 0$ on I.

Proof. It is result immediately from Theorem 2.1. □

Corollary 2.3. *Let $u \in C^2([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R})$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \ge 0$ such that $-u''(t)+M^2u(t) \le -\omega$ for $t \in I$,*

$$u(0)-u(2\pi) > \frac{1}{M\tanh(\pi M)}(u'(0)-u'(2\pi))$$

and

$$\omega \ge \frac{M^2}{2}[u(0)-u(2\pi) - \frac{1}{M\tanh(\pi M)}(u'(0)-u'(2\pi))]. \tag{2.5}$$

Then $u \le 0$ on I.

Proof. We have

$$\int_0^{2\pi}(e^{Ms}+e^{-M(s-2\pi)})\omega ds = \frac{2\omega}{M}(e^{2\pi M}-1).$$

From (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{2\pi}(e^{Ms}+e^{-M(s-2\pi)})\omega ds &\ge \frac{2}{M}(e^{2\pi M}-1)\frac{M^2}{2}[\mu - \frac{1}{M\tanh(\pi M)}\lambda]\\ &\ge \mu M(e^{2\pi M}-1)-\lambda(e^{2\pi M}+1).\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of Theorem 2.1 we get that $u \le 0$ on I. □

Theorem 2.4. *Let $u \in C^2([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R})$ and suppose that there exists $\omega \in C([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R})$, $\omega \le 0$ on $I=[0,2\pi]$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} -u''(t)+M^2u(t)+\omega(t) \ge 0,\ t \in I\\ u(0)-u(2\pi)=\mu\\ u'(0)-u'(2\pi)=\lambda\end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\int_0^{2\pi}(e^{Ms}+e^{-M(s-2\pi)})\omega(s)ds \le \mu M(e^{2\pi M}-1)-\lambda(e^{2\pi M}+1). \tag{2.7}$$

Then $u \ge 0$ on I.

Proof. The same argument as in Theorem 2.1 will be used. □

3. Existence theorems

We now consider the nonlinear boundary value problem

$$-u''(t) = f(t,u(t)),\quad u(0)=u(2\pi),\ u'(0)=u'(2\pi) \tag{3.1}$$

where $f \in C([0,2\pi]\times\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$. Relative to the lower and upper solutions α, β of the problem (3.1), we shall list the following assumptions:

(A_1) $\alpha,\beta \in C^2([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R})$, $\alpha(t) \le \beta(t)$ on $[0,2\pi]$, and
$f(t,u)-f(t,v) \ge -M^2(u-v)$, $t \in [0,2\pi]$, for any u, $v \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha(t) \le v \le u \le \beta(t)$.

(A_2) $-\alpha'' \leq f(t,\alpha)$, $t \in [0,2\pi]$, $\alpha(0)-\alpha(2\pi) < 0$, $\alpha'(0)-\alpha'(2\pi) \geq 0$ and $-\beta'' \geq f(t,\beta)$, $t \in [0,2\pi]$, $\beta(0)-\beta(2\pi) > 0$, $\beta'(0)-\beta'(2\pi) \leq 0$.

Theorem 3.1. *(Upper and lower solutions method). Let (A_1) and (A_2) hold. Then, the problem* (3.1) *is solvable.*

Proof. For $u \in \mathbb{R}$, we set $p(t,u) = \min\{\beta(t), \max\{u, \alpha(t)\}\}$. Thus, for $u \in C^2([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R})$ we define the function $\hat{u}(t) = p(t,u(t))$. We now consider the modified problem

$$\begin{cases} -u''(t) + M^2u(t) = F(t,u(t)),\ t \in I \\ u(0) = u(2\pi),\ \ u'(0) = u'(2\pi) \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

where $F(t,u(t)) = f(t,p(t,u(t))) + M^2p(t,u(t))$.

The problem (3.2) admits a solution given by (2.2) where $\mu = \lambda = 0$, and $\sigma(t) = F(t,u(t))$. Note that if u is solution of (3.2) such that $\alpha \leq u \leq \beta$ on I, then u is actually a solution of (3.1). We shall prove that any solution of (3.2) is such that $\alpha \leq u \leq \beta$ on I. Thus, we obtain that (3.1) has at least one solution.

Indeed, let $v = \alpha - u$. Then using (A_1) we have that for every $t \in I$,

$$\begin{aligned} -v'' + M^2v + (\alpha'' + f(t,\alpha)) &= -[f(t,\hat{u}) - f(t,\alpha) + M^2(\hat{u}-\alpha)] \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using Theorem 2.1, we obtain that $v \leq 0$ on I. Similarly, we have that $u \leq \beta$ on I. □

Theorem 3.2. *(Monotone method). Let (A_1) and (A_2) hold. Then, there exist monotone sequences $\{\alpha_n\} \nearrow \phi$, and $\{\beta_n\} \searrow \psi$ uniformly on I with $\alpha_0 = \alpha$ and $\beta_0 = \beta$. Here ϕ and ψ are the minimal and maximal solutions of* (3.1) *respectively between α and β, that is, if u is a solution of* (3.1) *with $\alpha \leq u \leq \beta$ on I, then $\phi \leq u \leq \psi$ on I. Moreover, these sequences are such that $\alpha_0 \leq ... \leq \alpha_n \leq ... \leq \beta_m \leq ... \leq \beta_0$, for every n, $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. For $\eta \in [\alpha,\beta] = \{\eta \in C([0,2\pi],\mathbb{R}) : \alpha \leq \eta \leq \beta \text{ on } I\}$, let us consider the linear periodic boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -u''(t) + M^2u(t) = \sigma_\eta(t) \\ u(0) = u(2\pi),\ \ u'(0) = u'(2\pi) \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

where $\sigma(t) = \sigma_\eta(t) = f(t,\eta(t)) + M^2\eta(t)$. This problem has a unique solution given by

$$u(t) = C_1e^{Mt} + C_2e^{-Mt} - \frac{e^{Mt}}{2M}\int_0^t e^{-Ms}\sigma(s)ds + \frac{e^{-Mt}}{2M}\int_0^t e^{Ms}\sigma(s)ds, \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= -\frac{e^{2\pi M}}{2M(1-e^{2\pi M})}\int_0^{2\pi} e^{-Ms}\sigma(s)ds, \\ C_2 &= \frac{e^{-2\pi M}}{2M(1-e^{-2\pi M})}\int_0^{2\pi} e^{Ms}\sigma(s)ds. \end{aligned}$$

To prove uniqueness, let $v(t)$ another solution of (3.3) and define $w(t) = u(t) - v(t)$. We see that

$$-w''(t) + M^2w(t) = 0,\ \ w(0) = w(2\pi),\ \ w'(0) = w'(2\pi).$$

Hence, by Corollary 2.2, it follows that $w(t) \equiv 0$, which shows $v(t) = u(t)$. Hence for any $\eta \in [\alpha, \beta]$, we define an operator A by $A\eta = u$, where u is the unique solution of (3.3). The operator A is well defined from $[\alpha, \beta]$ to $[\alpha, \beta]$ and A is monotone nondecreasing on $[\alpha, \beta]$. Indeed, let $\eta \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and define $v = \alpha - u$. Thus, for all $t \in I$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} -v''(t) + M^2 v(t) + (\alpha'' + f(t,\alpha)) &= -\left[f(t,\eta) - f(t,\alpha) + M^2(\eta - \alpha)\right] \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.1, it follows that $v \leq 0$, which shows $\alpha \leq u$ on I. Similarly, we get that $u \leq \beta$ on I.

To show the monotonicity of A, let η_1, $\eta_2 \in [\alpha, \beta]$ such that $\eta_1 \leq \eta_2$. Let $A\eta_1 = u_1$ and $A\eta_2 = u_2$. Setting $w = u_1 - u_2$ and using (A_1) , we get

$$-w''(t) + M^2 w(t) \leq 0,\ w(0) = w(2\pi),\ \ w'(0) = w'(2\pi).$$

Hence, by Corollary 2.2, we have $w(t) \leq 0$ and this implies that A is monotone on $[\alpha, \beta]$.

We now define $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$ with $\alpha_0 = \alpha$, $\beta_0 = \beta$ by

$$\alpha_{n+1} = A\alpha_n,\ \ \beta_{n+1} = A\beta_n\ .$$

Then we have

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 \leq ... \leq \alpha_n \leq ... \leq \beta_n \leq ... \leq \beta_0 = \beta, \qquad t \in I.$$

It then follows, by using standard argument [3], that $\lim\limits_{n \longrightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(t) = \phi(t)$ and $\lim\limits_{n \longrightarrow \infty} \beta_n(t) = \psi(t)$ uniformly and monotonically on I, and that ϕ and ψ are the minimal and maximal solutions of (3.1), respectively. This completes the proof. □

REFERENCES

[1] R. Kannan, and V. Lakshmikantham, Periodic solutions of nonlinear boundary value problems, Nonlinear. Anal., **6** (1982), l-10.

[2] R. Kannan, and V. Lakshmikantham, Existence of periodic solutions of nonlinear boundary value problems and the method of upper and lower solutions, Appl. Anal., **17** (1984), 103-113.

[3] V. Lakshmikantham and S. Leela, Remarks on first and second order periodic boundary value problems, Nonlinear. Anal., **8** (1984), 281-287.

[4] V. Lakshmikantham, Periodic boundary value problems of first and second order differential equations, J. of Applied Math. and Simulation., **2** (3) (1989), 131-138.

FAOUZI HADDOUCHI, DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY OF ORAN, EL MNAOUAR, BP 1505, 31000 ORAN, ALGERIA
E-mail address: `haddouch@univ-usto.dz`

SLIMANE BENAICHA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ORAN, ES-SENIA, 31000 ORAN, ALGERIA
E-mail address: `slimanebenaicha@yahoo.fr`